Questions/Answers and Input from Planning Commission Meeting April 6, 2010 Staff Responses in Italics

- Is there a deferral of any expenses that would have long-term consequences?
 - O Some pain will occur in the short-term; have funds to address on-going efforts. We will have less money available to address new efforts.
- Is the General Plan at risk?
 - No, key provisions will continue.
- Why are no items related to Fire included in the Plan?
 - Fire is not part of the General Fund, it is separate legal entity with its own source of funding.
- Where's the Tree Ordinance?
 - The work will be performed in-house.
- A Commissioner supported the public notification fee (Department Revenue Proposal B3).
- Is Economic Development helping? Any reliance on a big-box solution?
 - The objective is to create "places" in the City while expanding the tax base. No big-box.
- A Commissioner suggested suspension of mileage reimbursement for Planning Commissioners.
- A Commissioner supported the elimination of packet delivery.
- Concerned about results of deferred maintenance.
- A Commissioner stated a voluntary work reduction/job share worked well in the private sector.
- The private sector is deferring pay raises.
- Suggested to dress-up the Community Center facilities to make it more appealing for wedding rentals.
- Implement an "Adopt a Street" program for trash pick-up.
- Suggestion to reduce the number of Planning Commission meetings.
 - O Staff concern with reducing to once a month given the workload.